	ATT OF COLUMN TATION	UMAGDIEIGATION	OF CONTRACT	1. Contract ID (	Code	Page of Pages
AMENDI	MENT OF SOLICITATION	N/MODIFICATION	OF CONTRACT			1 6
2. AMENDMEN	IT MODIFICATION NO.	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. REQUISITION/PURC	HASE REQ. NO.	5. PROJECT NO	. (if applicable)
	0001	DEC 17, 2012	EDOIES-12-0	00309		52 5256
6. ISSUED BY	CODE	CPOD CPOD	7. ADMINISTERED BY (		) CODE	
Contracts & Acqu	isitions Mgt., Group D ation, 550 12th St SW - 7th Floor	01 00	See Block 6		p. werender	
8. NAME AND	ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (NO.	, Street, Country, State and	ZIP Code) (x	9A. AMENDN	ENT OF SOLICITA	TION NO.
					ED-IES-12-R-0	103
			$ \times$	9B. DATED (S	SEE ITEM 11)	
					NOV 30, 2012	
				10A. MODIFIC	CATION OF CONTE	RACT/ORDER NO.
				10B. DATED	(SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE		FACILITY CODE				
	11. TH	IIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES T	O AMENDMENTS OF SOI	LICITATIONS	- Applied to the second	
(a) By completi or (c) By separ. RECEIVED AT YOUR OFFER telegram or lett 12. ACCOUNT See Schedule	knowledge receipt of this amendmen ng items 8 and 15, and returningate letter or telegram which includes THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR TI. If by virtue of this amendment your er makes reference to the solicitation ING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (B)  EM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICAT  A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISS CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN IT  B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CO office, appropriation date, etc.  C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGRE  D. OTHER (Specify type of modifices)	1copies of amendment; a reference to the solicitatio HE RECEIPT OR OFFERS desire to change an offer alm and this amendment, and in an and this amendment, and in a second of the sec	(b) By acknowledging recein and amendment number PRIOR TO THE HOUR AN ready submitted, such chartis received prior to the open DERS. IT MODIFIES THE Codify authority) THE CHANCE PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORIST THE AUTH	ipt of this amendms. FAILURE OF YOU D DATE SPECIFII ninge may be made I ning hour and date  CONTRACT/ORDI SES SET FORTH I  ADMINISTRATIVE HORITY OF FAR 4	ent on each copy of DUR ACKNOWLED ED MAY RESULT If by telegram or letter specified.  ER NO. AS DESCR  N ITEM 14 ARE MA	the offer submitted; GMENT TO BE N REJECTION OF, provided each
14. DESCRIP Amendment 0 1. Amendme See Contin	and the state of t	TION (Organized by UCF set RFP) ED-IES-12-R-0103 promission due date from 0	ection headings, including sprovides the following the 1/03/2013 to 01/15/2013	olicitation/contract ree revisions to t	the RFP:	re feasible)
	d herein, all terms and conditions of the docu		a, as heretofore changed, remains 16A. NAME AND TITI	unchanged and in full	force and effect.	oe or print)
	ND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print	77	Veroni.	ica L.	Price	TCER
15B. CONTRA	CTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGN	ED 16B. UNITED STATE	S OF AMERICA	16C. DATE	SIGNED 1.C 17, 201
(Signature of	person authorized to sign)		(Signature of Contr.	acting Officer	-acc	. ,,

# **Continuation Page**

Continued	from	Block	14
Communeu	110111	DIUCK	19

- 2. Provides Responses to Vendor Questions (see attached); and
- 3. Incorporates U.S. Department of Education Clause H.23 Clearance of Conferences/Meetings, into the RFP.

#### AMENDMENT 0001 TO RFP ED-IES-12-R-0103

Comprehensive Center Evaluation

## Part I - Vendor Questions and Department of Education Responses

Question 1. If an organization currently serves as a subcontractor, providing evaluation services for a regional comprehensive center, can that organization serve as **project prime**, if the organization adequately provides specific details on policies and procedures to avoid conflicts via the required Conflict of Interest plan?

Department of Education Response: "Organizations working with the Comprehensive Centers where the nature of their work does not pose a conflict of interest in performing this requirement shall demonstrate the absence of a conflict of interest. Any organization that provides services to a Comprehensive Center that desires to propose on this requirement will need to submit a conflict mitigation plan that outlines how they can perform the review of Comprehensive Centers products and provide an objective review of any work products that they have produced for the Comprehensive Center."

In order to effectively accomplish this work, the selected contractor must have content knowledge and expertise to run this study. The selected contractor must have no conflict of interest that would call into question the independence of the study. A conflict of interest occurs when the vendor has to evaluate their own work. Reference IESTE120020 dated August 2, 2012 Historical Background

Question 2. If an organization currently serves as a subcontractor, providing evaluation services for a regional comprehensive center, can that organization serve as **subcontractor**, if the organization adequately provides specific details on policies and procedures to avoid conflicts via the required Conflict of Interest plan?

Department of Education Response: Reference the response to question #1 noted above.

Question 3. Will offers from current Comprehensive Center contractors be considered, either as a lead or as a subcontractor on the proposed evaluation?

Department of Education Response: Reference the Response to #4 below

4. (Reference page 63, Section F) The RFP states that "Accordingly, the successful offeror for this procurement, —Comprehensive Center Evaluation, cannot be a Comprehensive Center grantee nor have a contractual agreement to provide \_relevant services, to any Comprehensive Centers. —Relevant services are services related to the Comprehensive Centers, technical assistance work that would cause a conflict of interest that cannot be mitigated or neutralized in an effort to appropriately perform the work required in this procurement."

Question 4. Does this statement apply to contractors currently working as subcontractors/consultants in support of a Center that may be a subcontractor/consultant on a potential bid for the subject work? Would any potential conflict be successfully mitigated if said contractor's current work supporting a Center were "walled-off" from the subject work?

Department of Education Response: The Department requests the offeror to fully explain how the potential conflict of interest will be mitigated to completely avoid any actual or apparent conflict of interest. Please provide an Organizational Conflict of Interest Mitigation Plan and state how FAR 9.5 is addressed.

Because the organizational conflict of interest (OCI) occurs at the Corporate Level, it may not be possible given the nature of this work to provide a "wall" between divisions of an organization.

FAR 9.505-3 states:

"Contracts for the evaluation of offers for products or services shall not be awarded to a contractor that will evaluate its own offers for products or services, or those of a competitor, without proper safeguards to ensure objectivity to protect the Government's interests."

The offerors business proposal must clearly demonstrate that their proposal provides a compelling conflict mitigation plan to demonstrate the absence of a conflict of interest. Therefore, the contractor performing the work shall demonstrate how their proposal provides complete objectivity to protect the Government's interests. The contractor who performs this work must be able to work with all Comprehensive Center grantees without conflict of interest.

#### For Reference Purposes:

Please note, according to GAO (Nortel Government Solutions, Inc., B-299522.5; B-299522.6, December 30, 2008) a conflict of interest pertains to the organization, not to the individual employees."

Question 5. a. Would there be a perceived conflict of interest if an offeror were to respond to this RFP given prior work with a Comprehensive Center and recent application as a subcontractor?

b. Also, is there a preference for a "local" vendor (i.e., DC area)?

## Department of Education Response:

- a. If the contractor is not working on current Comprehensive Center grant(s), no conflict of interest would exist.
- b. There is no restriction for the place of performance.

Question 6. Due to the complexity of the PWS and the coming holidays, this is a request that the due date of the proposal be extended. Will the Department consider extending the due date of the proposal to January 17, 2013, or later? Will any extensions be granted?

Department of Education Response: The RFP due date is amended to January 17, 2013.

Offerors shall submit Proposals no later than 2:00 pm ET on January 15, 2013. Please refer to the RFP proposal instructions for additional information.

# Part II - Incorporate the following U.S. Department of Education Clause regarding clearance of Conferences and Meetings:

# Clause H. 23 Clearance of Conferences/Meetings

Any hotel/venue contract that the Contractor negotiates must be reviewed by and receive concurrence from an Event Services Team member prior to final agreement. The Event Services staff can be contacted at (202) 401-3679 or <a href="mailto:event.services@ed.gov">event.services@ed.gov</a>.

<u>Comps:</u> The Contractor does <u>not</u> have authority to negotiate or accept room upgrades for Department or Contractor staff. However, the Contractor is authorized to exercise its best efforts to obtain other Comps of necessary items/services that the Department would otherwise seek to procure in furtherance of the conference/meeting (i.e., meeting rooms, sleeping rooms, audio-visual equipment, etc.)

<u>Dual Compensation:</u> Contractors are prohibited from receiving compensation from both the Department and any other source for conference planning performed pursuant to the terms of this Contract. If the vendor receives any compensation from another source as a result of conference services performed for the Department, the Contractor

will report this compensation to the Contracting Officer and offset its invoice to the Department in an equal amount.